

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file refe PU030229	FO	R FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
	inte	ernational filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
nternational application No. PCT/US2004/017842	1	.06.2004	30.07.2003				
nternational Patent Classific	eation (IPC) or national	al classification and IPC					
nternational Patent Classific HO4N9/31	alion (ii o) or name						
104140/01							
Applicant							
THOMSON LICENSIN							
1. This report is the ir	ternational prelimir	nary examination report, established	ed by this International Preliminary Examining Article 36.				
Authority under Art	icle 35 and transmi	illed to the applicant accounts					
This REPORT con	sists of a total of /	sheets, including this cover sheet	•• ·				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:							
☐ sheets	of the description, sheets containing r	ectifications authorized by this Au	thority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the				
☐ sheets	which supersede	earlier sheets, but which this Authority in the start of	ority considers contain an amendment that goes d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the				
	beyond the disclosure in the international application as med, as med-						
		eau only) a total of (indicate type a	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a				
b. [] (sent to the	e International Bure	eau only) a total of (indicate type a s related thereto, in computer read ting (see Section 802 of the Admi	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) ,containing a lable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental [,] inistrative Instructions).				
b. [] (sent to the	e International Bure	eau only) a total of (indicate type a s related thereto, in computer read sting (see Section 802 of the Admi	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a lable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental inistrative Instructions).				
b. [] (sent to the	e International Bure	eau only) a total of (indicate type a s related thereto, in computer read sting (see Section 802 of the Admi	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a lable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental inistrative Instructions).				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relati	e International Bure listing and/or tables ng to Sequence Lis	eau only) a total of (indicate type a s related thereto, in computer read sting (see Section 802 of the Admi	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a lable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental inistrative Instructions).				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation 1)	e International Bure listing and/or tables ng to Sequence Lis	ing to the following items:	nd number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a lable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental inistrative Instructions).				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation 4. This report contains Box No. I	e International Bure listing and/or tables ng to Sequence Lis ns indications relat Basis of the opinio	ing to the following items:	nistrative Instructions).				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation 4. This report contains	e International Bure listing and/or tables ng to Sequence Lis ns indications relat Basis of the opinio	ing to the following items:	nistrative Instructions).				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. I Box No. II	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinio Priority Non-establishmen	string (see Section 802 of the Admi	, inventive step and industrial applicability				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box No. II Box No. II	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinio Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of in	ing to the following items: on at of opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step and industrial applicability				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinio Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of int Reasoned statem applicability; citatic Certain document	string (see Section 802 of the Admissing (see Section 802 of the Admissing to the following items: on at of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sets cited	, inventive step and industrial applicability				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. I Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of interprity Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document	string (see Section 802 of the Administration 802 of the	, inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. I Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of interprity Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document	string (see Section 802 of the Administration 802 of the	, inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. I Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of interprity Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document	string (see Section 802 of the Admisting (see Section 802 of the Admisting to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sets cited It the international application ons on the international application	, inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of international Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	string (see Section 802 of the Admisting (see Section 802 of the Admisting to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sets cited It the international application ons on the international application	, inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. I Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. V	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of international Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	string (see Section 802 of the Administring (see Section 802 of the Administring to the following items: on at of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sets cited the international application ons on the international application Date of com	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement n				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of international Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	string (see Section 802 of the Admisting (see Section 802 of the Admisting to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sets cited It the international application ons on the international application	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. II Box No. II Box No. III Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Date of submission of the sequence Box Ro. VIII	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lise ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of inter Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation	ing to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sits cited It the international application ons on the international application Date of com 22.07.206	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement n				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box Ro. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of interpolicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation defended	ing to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sits cited It the international application ons on the international application Date of com 22.07.206	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement n				
b.	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of int Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation e demand	ing to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sits cited the international application ons on the international application Date of com 22.07.200	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement n npletion of this report O5 Officer				
b. (sent to the sequence Box Relation of the sequence Box No. II Box No. II Box No. II Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Date of submission of the sequence Box Research and mailing address preliminary examining a Box Research and sequence Box Relation and sequence Box Relatio	e International Bure listing and/or tables ing to Sequence Lis ins indications relat Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishmen Lack of unity of int Reasoned statem applicability; citation Certain document Certain defects in Certain observation e demand	ing to the following items: on It of opinion with regard to novelty vention ent under Article 35(2) with regard ons and explanations supporting sis cited It the international application ons on the international application Date of com 22.07.200 Authorized Güvener	nistrative Instructions). , inventive step and industrial applicability d to novelty, inventive step or industrial such statement n npletion of this report O5 Officer				

10/566493

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/017842

		WE 20 BE BELLEVIL SO LAN 2006
	Box No. I Basis of the report	
۲.	With regard to the language, this filed, unless otherwise indicated in	s report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.
	which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language, anslation furnished for the purposes of:
	☐ international search (und☐ publication of the international preliminary	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the elements* of have been furnished to the receireport as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):
	Description, Pages	
 .	.—1 <u>-1</u> 4	as originally filed
	Claims, Numbers	
	1-20	as originally filed
	Drawings, Sheets	
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed
	☐ a sequence listing and/or ar	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3	. The amendments have resi	ulted in the cancellation of:
Ο.	☐ the description, pages	
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ the sequence listing (sp	ecify):
	any table(s) related to s	equence listing (specify):
4	 This report has been estab had not been made, since they Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c) 	lished as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the)).
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig.	
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	pecify): equence listing (specify):
	-	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/017842

B	ox No. II Priority			as to furnish within the
; C	prescribed time limit the requirement copy of the earlier application of the earlier is	uested. ation whose priority application whose p	had been claimed due to the failu has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)). riority has been claimed (Rule 66.7	"(b)).
2. [This report has been estable been found invalid (Rule 64 above is considered to be the	11. 11103 101 1110 90.	had been claimed due to the fact poses of this report, the internation	that the priority claim had halfiling date indicated
_	Additional observations, if neces	ssary:		
3.				
		ment-under Article	35(2) with regard to novelty, inviting such statement	entive step or industria
	Box No. V—Reasoned stater applicability; citations and ex	ment⊧under Article ≀planations suppor	35(2) with regard to novelty, inviting such statement	entive step or industria
		ment-under Article planations suppor Yes: Claims No: Claims	35(2)-with regard to novelty, inviting such statement 2-5,8-14,16-19 1,6,7,15,20	entive step or industria
	Box No. V—Reasoned stater applicability; citations and ex	Yes: Claims	2-5,8-14,16-19	entive step or industria

see separate sheet

10/566493

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/017842

1AP20 Rec'd TGT/PTO 3 0 JAN 2006

Re Item V.

1 The following document are referred to in this communication:

D1: US-B-6 445 5051 (MORGAN DANIEL J) 3 September 2002 (2002-09-03)

D2: US-B-6 567 1341 (MORGAN DANIEL J) 20 May 2003 (2003-05-20)

- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

A method for operating a sequential color display system including a color changer and an imager, which operate in combination to sequentially illuminate at least one pixel with each of a set primary colors (abstract; fig. 1), comprising the steps of: applying a control signal to the imager to cause the imager to illuminate at least one pixel for each primary color at a brightness level in accordance with the control signal (col. 1, lines 33-52);

using light occurring during at least one first spoke, corresponding to a first interval when the color changer transitions from one color to another, when at least pixel has a brightness level above a first prescribed threshold for at least one color (col. 6, lines 51-61 and col. 7, lines 28-44); and

altering the control signal when the light is used during such spoke to decrease brightness of at the least one color in substantial time proximity to the occurrence of the spoke to compensate for the brightness increase caused by using the light during such spoke (col. 11, lines 20-23).

Therefore the subject matter of claim 1 is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.2 It should be noted that the main idea of the teaching of D1 is the use of white spoke light which is done by averaging the light of the individual spokes that occur upon rotation of the color wheel, whereas the present application is more concentrated on

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/017842

the use of individual spokes.

However, the subject matter of claim 1 falls within the scope of the teaching of D1 because there is no indication in the claim that a single spoke will be used independently of the other spokes and the brightness of only a single primary color will be decreased.

Furthermore, the individual use of spoke periods is also anticipated as an additional feature in D1 (see col. 12, lines 15-29).

- 2.3 In claim 1, the feature of decreasing the brightness in substantial time proximity is not formulated in such a manner-that it would add anything to the novelty or inventiveness of the subject matter of the claim because the term is vague and unclear and does not suggest any restriction on the time difference between the occurrence of the spoke and the decrease of the brightness.
- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 7
- 3.1 The independent claim 7 includes the features of claim 1 except that the "control signal" in claim 1 is replaced by the "plurality of pulse width segments". As the device disclosed in D1 involves a DMD, it is an implicit feature that the control signal contains pulse width segments (see also col. 11, lines 45-52).

Therefore, the subject matter of the independent claim 7 is also not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

- 4 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 15
- 4.1 The subject matter of claim 15 corresponds in terms of system features to that of claim 1. Therefore the negative opinion regarding the claim 1 also applies, mutatis mutandis, to independent claim 15 of which the subject matter is thus not new.
- 5 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 11

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/US2004/017842

5.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 11 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The subject matter of independent claim 11 comprises the features of claim 7 which is not new and the additional feature "... to decrease pixel brightness during the pulse width segments occurring substantially immediately before and after the at least one first spoke in order to compensate for the brightness increase from the spoke light".

The vague and relative term "substantially immediately before and after" does not introduce any restriction on the time when the "altering step" is applied and thus it does not indicate how the intended technical effect is achieved. Therefore the subject matter of claim 11 does not contain any additional feature that meets the requirements of Article 33(1) PCT in respect of inventive step.

6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS

- 6.1 Dependent claims 2-6, 8-10, 12-14, 16-20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:
- 6.2 The additional features of dependent claims 6, 20 are also disclosed in D1 (see col. 11, lines 45-52).
- 6.3 The subject matters of claims 2 and 16 do not involve an inventive step for the same reason as mentioned in paragraph 5.1.
- 6.4 The subject matters of 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19 appear to be obvious measures for the skilled person, in the light of the teachings of D1 and D2 which are in the same technical field, for the following reason:

The difference of the subject matters of said claims from D1 is that they use different first and second threshold values for different primary colors. The technical problem to be solved by said claims appears to be using the spoke light also for saturated

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/017842

images.

The document D2, which is in the same technical field, discloses a method that uses the spoke lights not only to boost the white level of de-saturated images but also to boost the secondary colors of saturated images (see D2 abstract; col.9, line 54-col.10, line 35). Therefore it appears to be an obvious option to include the additional features of the subject matters of said claims in a method like the one disclosed in D1.

7 REMARKS

7.1—Although-claims=1, 7, 11-have-been-drafted as separate-independent claims, they appear to relate to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.